Meeting Notes 6/21/2006 OSS Workgroup

Participating Jurisdictions:

Ecology WA DOH
Jamestown S'Klallam Tribe US EPA
PSAT Kitsap Health

Skagit County Public Health Seattle/King County

Clallam County

- 1. Hardship Grants
 - a. Primary benefits
 - i. Direct outreach to financially stressed Puget Sound region homeowners with failing on-site septic
 - ii. Outreach to Puget Sound homeowners heighten awareness in community
 - b. Decision to include/not include small commercial properties
 - i. Kitsap example: Seabeck
 - ii. Decision against offering grant dollars to grow a business
 - iii. Perhaps a loan is a better fit
- 2. Hardship Criteria (Counties have information to determine criteria)
 - a. Need Proviso language for financially distressed homeowners
 - b. Sort out cash flow issue vs. property value
 - i. Population based counties have the ability to observe low income pockets using zip code, census data
 - c. Establish a matrix which describes a % (ratio) use income qualifier
 - i. cost of repair vs. median income qualifier (use standard definition of poverty)
 - ii. Public Health/Environmental Impact VS. Income Qualifier
 - d. Consider Grant/loan combinations
 - e. Other criteria considerations
 - i. Homeowner cost share -Include self-help partnership component
 - ii. Grant disqualifier Homeowner turned down FEMA buyout
 - iii. Homeowner's responsibilities
 - 1. Easement for inspections
 - 2. Long term maintenance
 - iv. Shellfish/commercial/public considerations
- 3. Distribution of Grant Money Direct funding, through a competitive process, to highest priority applicants
 - a. Estimate number of hardship cases (Provide estimates to Ecology by June 30)
 - b. Discussed community split Includes 12 counties + tribal communities An even split between all communities would limit effectiveness of grant money
 - c. Discussed shoreline split
 - d. Most interested in a shoreline + watershed approach

- e. Application process interested in a rate and rank application process applicants' criteria to include the following:
 - i. Demonstrate Puget Sound marine impact marine recovery area
 - ii. Demonstrate residential hardship
 - iii. Demonstrate readiness to proceed
 - iv. Substantiate likelihood of success match dollars from other programs
 - v. Demonstrate ability to achieve success existing programs/projects
 - vi. Support of community partnerships
 - vii. Maps of targeted area
 - viii. Schedule for declined loans
- f. Priority 1 shoreline/watershed and public health impact
- 4. Direction of long-term options Establish an integrated program to reach each economic level of homeowner
 - a. Portfolio based programs
 - i. Low interest loans (OMWBE model)
 - ii. State guarantee loan to county (SRF)
 - iii. Grants
 - b. Inter-municipal Puget Sound program
 - i. Outside managing entity
 - ii. Statewide jurisdiction administration -Ecology as managing entity
 - c. SRF default insurance
- 5. Follow –up
 - a. Local communities –estimate hardship cases
 - b. Ecology Draft grant application out July 12 for comment
 - c. Ecology Web page for funding information Work in progress
 - d. Next meeting end of July
 - i. Skagit County -